IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN BLOKING 335 Linden Avenue Glenside, PA 19038

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No.:

v.

INTERSTATE BRANDS:
-CORPORATION, d/b/a-WONDERBREAD:
12 East Armour Boulevard:
Kansas City, MO 64111:

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, John Bloking (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

I. Introduction

1. Plaintiff initiates the instant action to redress violations by Defendants of 42 U.S.C. § 1981. Plaintiff was unlawfully terminated by Defendants, and he seeks damages as set forth herein.

II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This action is initiated pursuant to a federal law. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under the laws of the United States.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction in

order to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.

4. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendant resides in and/or conduct business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

III. Parties

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant Interstate Brands Corporation is a subsidiary of Hostess Brands Inc., and it operates as a nationwide wholesale baker and distributor.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

IV. Factual Background

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated here in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 10. Plaintiff is a Caucasian male.
- 11. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant for a short period of time at its Philadelphia, PA location.

- 12. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a laborer performing shipping and receiving duties.
- 13. In total, Plaintiff worked for Defendant for approximately three (3) months until on or about May 31, 2012 when he was terminated.
- 14. Plaintiff was not given any written discipline during his short tenure with Defendant.
- 15. Plaintiff was supervised by one Quami Beaffour (spelled phonetically) who is black, and upon information and belief, of Haitian descent.
- 16. A small percentage of the approximate 20 or more employees Beaffour supervised were Caucasian, as most individuals supervised by Beaffour were black.
- 17. Plaintiff was clearly treated disparately based upon his race by Beaffour in the manner in which he was talked to, laughed at, and commented about. Additionally, Beaffour would refer to Plaintiff as the stupid white boy.
- 18. Within the week prior to Plaintiff's termination from Defendant, he complained that Beaffour was racist to Beaffour himself and to Beaffour's management.
- 19. Shortly after his complaints of racial discrimination, Plaintiff was terminated from Defendant without any meaningful explanation because of his race and/or complaints of racial discrimination.

Count I <u>Violation(s) of 42 U.S.C. Section 1981</u> ([1] Racial Discrimination; and [2] Retaliation)

20. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 21. Plaintiff was terminated from Defendant because of his race and/or because of his complaints of racial discrimination.
- 22. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of 42 U.S.C. Section 1981.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:
- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice or custom of discriminating/retaliating against employees and is to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such unlawful acts and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to past lost earnings, future lost earnings, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered retaliation/interference at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount determined by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper and appropriate, including but not limited to, emotional distress and/or pain and suffering damages (where legally permitted);
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;
 - F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the

financial recovery available to the Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal law; and

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari Karpf 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: June 14, 2012

Case 2:12-cv-03395-GP Document 1 Filed 06/15/12 Page 6 of 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ININN KIDHIN	/	CIVIL ACTION	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv	I Justice Expense and Delay se Management Track Design each on all defendants.	NO. Reduction Plan of this court, couns gnation Form in all civil cases at the times at 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re	me of
designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	event that a detendant does shall with its first appearance	not agree with the plaintiff regarding e, submit to the clerk of court and ser ack Designation Form specifying the	g said
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases i and Human Services den	requesting review of a decising plaintiff Social Securit	ion of the Secretary of Health y Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	ired to be designated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involv exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injur	ry or property damage from	()
		ial or intense management by	
management cases.)		•	()
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)
le 15/2012	Ari R. Karpf	Plaintiff	
Date '	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	. •

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 2:12-cv-03395-GP Document 1 Filed 06/15/12 Page 7 of 8

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assign ment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of			
Address of Plaintiff: 325 LINCLY AVENUE, GL	lnsidl ta 19029			
Address of Defendant: 12 EAST AVMOUV BIVO	KAMSAS CITY MO LATIT			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: DELEVICIONES DO	ICE OF POLICIONACE			
(Use Reverse Side For.	Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	Yes No DX			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No 🔀			
RELATED CASE, JF ANY:	D. M. C.			
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	rear previously terminated action in this court?			
	Yes□ No□			
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated			
	Yes□ No□			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier				
term inated action in this court?	Yes No 🗆			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	its case filed by the same individual?			
	Yes□ No□			
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	 B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 			
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury			
5. D Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please			
	specify)			
7. A Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability			
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases				
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERT	THEICATION			
Ari R Karnf (Check Appropriate C	'alegory')			
1, counsel of record do hereby certing Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	fy: helief the damages recoverable in this civil action coses			
5130,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	of the sum of			
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE: UIVIZUIZ // U	ARK2484			
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# 91538 ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or				
except as noted above.	which one year previously terminated action in this court			
10/10/2017 // V	ARK2484			
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.# 91538			
CIV. 609 (6/08)				

Case 2:12-cv-03395-GP Document 1 Filed 06/15/12 Page 8 of 8 CIVIL COVER SHEET

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

r. (a) PLAINTIFFS	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVI	ERSE OF THE PORM.)	·-····································	DEFENDANTS			
			INTERSTATE BRANDS CORPORATION, d/b/a				
BLOKING, JOHN				WONDERBREAD			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery				County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Jackson	
	ame, Address, Telephone N		lress)		ID CONDEMNATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
Karpf, Karpf & Co				LAND INVOLVED.			
Two Greenwood PA 19020, (215)			m	Attorneys (If Known)			
77(10020; (210)	oco oco i, anai pii	egitai prilatrioo	•••				
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)			TF DEF J 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	2 Incorporated and I		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Ou:	on on the live of the second	of Business In A		
		···, ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		en or Subject of a C reign Country	J 3 D 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly) (865	W. HPOV	orrandridenmaws <u>v</u>	The same of the sa	IN STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	X 🗀 61	10 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic	e 🗀 62	20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	O 410 Antitrust O 430 Banks and Banking	
 ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws	EMPROTURIUS ARTONIUS EMP	☐ 450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgmen	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	al 🖂 64	10 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability		50 Airline Regs. 50 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine . ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending			a ezakuda tekiko urunyezha		
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal Property Damage		10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage	5 17 72	20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Product Liability		30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation	GWIERGHIS 441 Voting	D 510 Motions to Vaca		40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	3 (3.00)(V. 3) A.XXSUI (6.7%) ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence		91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		Managaran day	<u>N</u>	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 	☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot ☐ 550 Civil Rights		52 Naturalization Application 53 Habeas Corpus -	n j	Under Equal Access to Justice	
	 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee 55 Other Immigration		☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights		۳ ا	Actions		State Statutes	
				•	<u> </u>		
🐧 1 Original 📋 2 R	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened anoth	sferred from G Multidistrater district Litigation		
VI CALICE OF ACCES	Cite the USC 198	tute under which you a	re filing ((Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ibrief describtion of ca	use: f 42 USC 198 ⁻	1				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CAS		2.5			JURY DEMAND:	Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:			7				
10/15/17/17			+				
DATE		SION ATTENDS O	E ATTECT	DAIRY OF PROOPS			
DAIL		SIGNATURE U	r AIIUi	RNEY OF RECORD			

Print Save As...

Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset